arXiv:1601.06265v1 [math.DG] 23 Jan 2016

ISOMETRIC REALIZATION OF CROSS CAPS AS FORMAL POWER SERIES AND ITS APPLICATIONS

A. HONDA, K. NAOKAWA, M. UMEHARA, AND K. YAMADA

Abstract. Two cross caps in Euclidean 3-space are said to be *formally isometric* if their Taylor expansions of the first fundamental forms coincide by taking a suitable local coordinate system. For a given C^∞ cross cap f, we give a method to find all cross caps which are formally isometric to f. As an application, we give a countable family of intrinsic invariants of cross caps which recognizes formal isometry classes completely.

Introduction

Singular points of a positive semi-definite metric $d\sigma^2$ are the points where the metric is not positive definite. In the authors' previous work [3] with Hasegawa and Saji, a class of positive semi-definite metrics on 2-manifolds called 'Whitney metrics' was given. Singularities of Whitney metrics are isolated and the pull-back metrics of cross caps in Euclidean 3-space $\boldsymbol{R}^3$ are typical examples of Whitney metrics.

In [4] with Hasegawa, the authors gave three intrinsic invariants $\alpha_{2,0}$, $\alpha_{1,1}$ and $\alpha_{0,2}$ for cross caps. After that they were generalized in [3] as invariants of Whitney metrics. In this paper, we construct a series of invariants $\{\alpha_{i,j}\}_{i+j\geq 2}$ as an extension of $\alpha_{2,0}, \alpha_{1,1}$ and $\alpha_{0,2}$. This series of invariants can distinguish isometric classes of real analytic Whitney metric completely (see Section 5), and are related to the following problem:

Problem. *Can each singular point of a Whitney metric locally be isometrically realized as a cross cap in $\boldsymbol{R}^3$?*

The authors expect the answer will be affirmative, under the assumption that the metric is real analytic. In fact, for real analytic cuspidal edges and swallowtails, the corresponding problems are solved affirmatively by Kossowski [5] (see also [3]). Moreover, the moduli of isometric deformations of a given generic real analytic germ of cuspidal edge singularity was completely determined in [6]. In this paper, we construct all isometric realizations of a given Whitney metric germ at their singularities as formal power series solutions of the problem. The above family of invariants $\{\alpha_{i,j}\}_{i+j\geq 2}$ corresponds to the coefficients of the Taylor expansion of a certain realization of the Whitney metric associated to a given cross cap singular

Date: January 23, 2016.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57R45; Secondary 53A05.

The first author was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratry Research, No. 26610016 of the Japan Society for the Promotions of Science (JSPS), the second author was partially supported by the Grant-Aid for JSPS fellows, No. 14J00101, the third author was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) No. 262457005, and the fourth author by (C) No. 26400087 from JSPS .

point. So we can give an explicit algorithm to compute the invariants (cf. Section 5). Although it seems difficult to show the convergence of the power series, we can approximate it by C^∞ maps by applying Borel's theorem (cf. [2, Lemma 2.5 in Chapter IV]), and get our main result (cf. Theorem 1.10).

1. Preliminaries and main results

1.1. **Characteristic functions of cross caps.** We recall fundamental properties of cross caps (cf. [7, 1, 4]). Let $f : U \to \boldsymbol{R}^3$ be a C^∞ map, where U is a domain in $\boldsymbol{R}^2$. A point p ($\in U$) is called a *singular point* if f is not an immersion at p. Consider such a map given by

$$f_0(u, v) = (u, uv, v^2), \tag{1.1}$$

which has an isolated singular point at the origin $(0, 0)$ and is called the *standard cross cap*. A singular point p of the map $f : U \to \boldsymbol{R}^3$ is called a *cross cap* or a *Whitney umbrella* if there exist a local diffeomorphism φ on $\boldsymbol{R}^2$ and a local diffeomorphism Φ on $\boldsymbol{R}^3$ satisfying $\Phi \circ f = f_0 \circ \varphi$ such that $\varphi(p) = (0, 0)$ and $\Phi(f(p)) = (0, 0, 0)$.

Let $f : (U; u, v) \to \boldsymbol{R}^3$ be a C^∞ map such that $(u, v) = (0, 0)$ is a cross cap singularity and $f_v(0, 0) = 0$. Since cross cap singularities are co-rank one, $f_u(0, 0) \neq 0$. We call the line

$$\{f(0, 0) + t f_u(0, 0); t \in \boldsymbol{R}\}$$

the *tangential line* at the cross cap. The plane passing through $f(0, 0)$ spanned by $f_u(0, 0)$ and $f_{vv}(0, 0)$ is called the *principal plane*. The principal plane is determined independently of the choice of the local coordinate system (u, v) satisfying $f_v(0, 0) = 0$. By definition, the principal plane contains the tangential line.

On the other hand, the plane passing through $f(0, 0)$ perpendicular to the tangential line is called the *normal plane*. The unit normal vector $\nu(u, v)$ near the cross cap at $(u, v) = (0, 0)$ can be extended as a C^∞ function of (r, θ) by setting $u = r\cos\theta$ and $v = r\sin\theta$, and the limiting normal vector

$$\nu(\theta) := \lim_{r\to 0} \nu(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) \in T_{f(0,0)}\boldsymbol{R}^3$$

lies in the normal plane.

We have the following normal form of f at a cross cap singularity:

Fact 1.1. *Let $f : (U; u, v) \to \boldsymbol{R}^3$ be a germ of a cross cap singularity at $(u, v) = (0, 0)$. Then there exist an orientation preserving isometry T and a local diffeomorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (u(x, y), v(x, y))$ such that $f(x, y) := f(u(x, y), v(x, y))$ satisfies*

$$T \circ f(x, y) = (x,\ xy + b(y),\ z(x, y)), \tag{1.2}$$

where $b(y)$ and $z(x, y)$ are smooth functions satisfying

$$b(0) = b'(0) = b''(0) = 0, \quad z(0, 0) = z_x(0, 0) = z_y(0, 0) = 0, \quad z_{yy}(0, 0) > 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, if we assume

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x_u & x_v \\ y_u & y_v \end{pmatrix} > 0 \tag{1.4}$$

at $(u, v) = (0, 0)$, then the function germs $x = x(u, v), y = y(u, v)$, $z = z(x, y)$ and $b = b(y)$ are uniquely determined.

This special local coordinate system (x, y) is called the *canonical coordinate system* or the *normal form* of f at the cross cap singularity. In particular, the function $b(y)$ is called the *characteristic function* associated to the cross cap f. Historically, West [7] initially introduced this normal form of cross caps. Unfortunately, it is difficult to have an access to the reference [7]. An algorithm approach to determine the coefficients of the Taylor expansions of $b(y)$ and $z(x, y)$ can be found in Fukui-Hasegawa [1, Proposition 2.1], which we will apply at Section 5. For the sake of the later discussions, we give here a proof of the last assertion of Fact 1.1 as follows.

Proof of the uniqueness of the normal form. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(0,0) = (0,0,0)$. Suppose that there exists another such normal form

$$\tilde{T} \circ \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \big(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}\tilde{y} + \tilde{b}(\tilde{y}), \tilde{z}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})\big), \tag{1.5}$$

where $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) := f(u(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}), v(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}))$. Since $f(0,0) = (0,0,0)$, two isometries T and $\tilde{T}$ can be considered as matrices in $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. By (1.2) and (1.5), it holds that $T(f_x(0,0)) = \tilde{T}(\tilde{f}_{\tilde{x}}(0,0)) = \boldsymbol{e}_1$, where $\boldsymbol{e}_1 := (1,0,0)$. Since the tangential lines of f and $\tilde{f}$ coincide, we have

$$\tilde{T} \circ T^{-1}(\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{e}_1) = \tilde{T}(\boldsymbol{R}f_x(0,0)) = \tilde{T}(\boldsymbol{R}\tilde{f}_{\tilde{x}}(0,0)) = \boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{e}_1.$$

Hence, $\boldsymbol{e}_1$ is an eigenvector of the matrix $S := \tilde{T} \circ T^{-1}$. On the other hand, by (1.2) and (1.5) again, both of $T(f_{yy}(0,0))$ and $\tilde{T}(\tilde{f}_{\tilde{y}\tilde{y}}(0,0))$ must be proportional to $\boldsymbol{e}_3 := (0,0,1)$. Since the principal planes of f and $\tilde{f}$ coincide, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T} \circ T^{-1}(\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{e}_3) &= \tilde{T}(\boldsymbol{R}f_x(0,0) + \boldsymbol{R}f_{yy}(0,0)) \\ &= \tilde{T}(\boldsymbol{R}\tilde{f}_{\tilde{x}}(0,0) + \boldsymbol{R}\tilde{f}_{\tilde{y}\tilde{y}}(0,0)) = \boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{e}_3.\end{aligned}$$

Since we know that $\boldsymbol{e}_1$ is an eigenvector of S, we can conclude that $\boldsymbol{e}_3$ is also an eigenvector of S. Thus $\boldsymbol{e}_2 = (0,1,0)$ is also an eigenvector of S, and we can write

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (\varepsilon_i = \pm 1,\ i = 1, 2).$$

Then we get the expression

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ xy + b(y) \\ z(x,y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{x}\tilde{y} + \tilde{b}(\tilde{y}) \\ \tilde{z}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Comparing the first components, we have

$$\varepsilon_1 x = \tilde{x}. \tag{1.6}$$

Next, comparing the second components, we have

$$\varepsilon_2(xy + b(y)) = \varepsilon_1 x\tilde{y} + \tilde{b}(\tilde{y}). \tag{1.7}$$

Substituting $x = 0$, we get $\varepsilon_2 b(y) = \varepsilon_1 \tilde{b}(\tilde{y})$, and therefore $\varepsilon_2 xy = \varepsilon_1 x\tilde{y}$. So we can conclude that $\tilde{y} = \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 y$. By (1.4), we have $\varepsilon_2 = 1$. By comparing the third components, $\varepsilon_1 z(x,y) = \tilde{z}(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_1 y)$ holds. Hence we have

$$\varepsilon_1 z_{yy}(x,y) = \tilde{z}_{yy}(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_1 y) = \tilde{z}_{\tilde{y}\tilde{y}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) > 0.$$

Since $z_{yy}(x,y) > 0$, we can conclude that $\varepsilon_1 = 1$. In particular, we have $x = \tilde{x}$, $y = \tilde{y}$, and $z(x,y)$ coincides with $\tilde{z}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$. Then (1.7) reduces to $b(y) = \tilde{b}(y)$, proving the assertion. □

In the statement of Fact 1.1, b and z can be taken as real analytic functions if f is real analytic. The following assertion was proved in [4]:

Fact 1.2. *The characteristic function $b(y)$ vanishes identically if and only if the set of self-intersections of f lies in the intersection of the principal plane and the normal plane.*

Definition 1.3. Cross caps whose characteristic functions vanish identically are called *normal cross caps* (cf. [4]).

Let $C^\infty_o(\boldsymbol{R}^2)$ (resp. $C^\infty_o(\boldsymbol{R})$) be the set of C^∞ function germs at the origin o of the (u,v)-plane $\boldsymbol{R}^2$ (resp. the line $\boldsymbol{R}$). Two functions $h_1(u,v)$, $h_2(u,v) \in C^\infty_o(\boldsymbol{R}^2)$ (resp. $h_1(t), h_2(t) \in C^\infty_o(\boldsymbol{R})$) are called *equivalent* (denoted by $h_1 \sim h_2$) if the Taylor series of h_1 coincides with that of h_2 at the origin. By the well-known Borel theorem (cf. [2, Lemma 2.5 in Chapter IV]), the quotient space $C^\infty_o(\boldsymbol{R}^2)/\sim$ (resp. $C^\infty_o(\boldsymbol{R})/\sim$) can be identified with the space $\boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{R}[[t]]$) of formal power series in the variables u, v (resp. t) at the origin o, that is, the formal power series

$$[h] := \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{k+l} h(0,0)}{\partial u^k \partial v^l} \frac{u^k v^l}{k!l!} \qquad \left(\text{resp. } [h] := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^j h(0)}{dt^j} \frac{t^j}{j!} \right) \tag{1.8}$$

represents the equivalent class containing h in $C^\infty_o(\boldsymbol{R}^2)/\sim$ (resp. in $C^\infty_o(\boldsymbol{R})/\sim$). At the end of this subsection, we show that the following assertion is an immediate consequence of our main result (Theorem 1.10):

Proposition 1.4. *Let $f_j : (U; u, v) \to \boldsymbol{R}^3$ $(j = 1, 2)$ be two real analytic cross cap singularities such that the first fundamental form (i.e. the pull back of the canonical metric of $\boldsymbol{R}^3$) of f_1 coincides with that of f_2. Then, f_1 coincides with f_2 up to orientation-preserving isometries in $\boldsymbol{R}^3$ if and only if the Taylor series of their characteristic functions coincide.*



FIGURE 1. An isometric deformation of the standard cross cap.

Proposition 1.4 tells us that an analytic isometric deformation of cross caps can be controlled by the corresponding deformation of characteristic functions. Examples of isometric deformations of cross caps are constructed in [4] (cf. Figure 1). By the definition of normal cross caps (cf. Definition 1.3), we get the following corollary:

Corollary 1.5 (The rigidity of normal cross caps)**.** *Two germs of real analytic normal cross caps are congruent if and only if they have the same first fundamental form.*

Corollary 1.5 suggests us the following:

Question. *Can a given cross cap germ in* $\boldsymbol{R}^3$ *be isometrically deformed into a normal cross cap?*

If the answer to the problem in the introduction is affirmative, so it is for the above question. Since the standard cross cap (cf. (1.1)) is normal, the deformation of the standard cross cap in Figure 1 can be re-interpreted as a normalization of the rightmost cross cap to the normal cross cap (i.e. the leftmost cross cap). We give here another example:

Example 1.6. We set

$$f_0(u,v) = \left(u, uv + \frac{v^3}{6}, \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{v^2}{2}\right).$$

This gives the normal form of a cross cap at $(0,0)$. (see Figure 2, left). Since $b \neq 0$, this cross cap is not normal. We suppose that there exists a real analytic germ f_1 of a normal cross cap which is isometric to f_0. By Corollary 1.5, we know the uniqueness of f_1. Moreover, for a given positive integer n, we can determine the coefficients of its Taylor expansion of order at most n using our algorithm as in the proof of Theorem 1.10. Figure 2, right is an approximation of f_1 by setting $n = 10$. The main difference between the figures of f_0 and f_1 appears on the set of self-intersection. The set of self-intersection of the figure of f_1 consists of a straight line perpendicular to the tangential direction of the surface at $(0,0)$.



FIGURE 2. Example 1.6: The cross cap f_0 and its corresponding normal cross cap f_1.

1.2. **Whitney metrics.** We fix a 2-manifold M^2, and a positive semi-definite metric $d\sigma^2$ on M^2. A point $p \in M^2$ is called a *singular point* of the metric $d\sigma^2$ if the metric is not positive definite at p.

Let p be a singular point of $d\sigma^2$, and (u,v) a local coordinate system centered at p. We set

$$d\sigma^2 = E\,du^2 + 2F\,du\,dv + G\,dv^2. \tag{1.9}$$

The local coordinate system (u,v) is called *admissible* if $\partial/\partial v$ is a null direction of the metric $d\sigma^2$, that is, it holds that $F = G = 0$ at the origin.

Definition 1.7. A singular point p of the metric $d\sigma^2$ is called *admissible*[1]if there exists an admissible local coordinate system (u,v) centered at p satisfying

$$E_v = 2F_u, \qquad G_u = G_v = 0$$

at the origin. If each singular point of $d\sigma^2$ is admissible, then $d\sigma^2$ is called *admissible*.

[1] Admissibility was originally introduced by Kossowski [5]. He called it $d(\langle,\rangle)$-*flatness*. Our definition of admissibility is equivalent to the original one, see [3, Proposition 2.7].

Definition 1.8 ([3]). Let p be a singular point of an admissible (positive semi-definite) metric $d\sigma^2$ on M^2 in the sense of Definition 1.7. Let (u, v) be an admissible local coordinate system centered at p and set

$$\delta := EG - F^2,$$

where E, F, G are functions satisfying (1.9). If the Hessian

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{u,v}(\delta) := \det \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{uu} & \delta_{uv} \\ \delta_{uv} & \delta_{vv} \end{pmatrix}$$

does not vanish at p, then p is called an *intrinsic cross cap* of $d\sigma^2$. Moreover, if $d\sigma^2$ admits only intrinsic cross cap singularities on M^2, then it is called a *Whitney metric* on M^2.

The definition of intrinsic cross caps is independent of the choice of admissible coordinate systems. A Gauss-Bonnet type formula for Whitney metrics is given in [3].

Definition 1.9. Two metrics $d\sigma_j^2$ $(j = 1, 2)$ defined on a neighborhood of $p \in M^2$ are called *formally isometric* at p if there exists a local coordinate system (u, v) centered at p such that (see (1.8) for the definition of the bracket $[\ ]$)

$$[E_1] = [E_2], \quad [F_1] = [F_2], \quad [G_1] = [G_2]$$

hold at $(0, 0)$, where

$$d\sigma_j^2 = E_j\, du^2 + 2F_j\, du\, dv + G_j\, dv^2 \qquad (j = 1, 2).$$

We write $d\sigma_1^2 \approx d\sigma_2^2$ if two metrics are formally isometric. Two cross cap germs are said to be *formally isometric* if their induced metrics are formally isometric.

The following is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.10. *Let p be a singular point of a Whitney metric $d\sigma^2$. For any choice of C^∞ function germ $b \in C^\infty_o(\boldsymbol{R})$ satisfying $b(0) = b'(0) = b''(0) = 0$, there exists a C^∞ map germ f into $\boldsymbol{R}^3$ having a cross cap singularity at p satisfying the following two properties:*

1. *the first fundamental form of f (i.e. the pull-back of the canonical metric of $\boldsymbol{R}^3$ by f) is formally isometric to $d\sigma^2$ at p,*
2. *the characteristic function of f is equivalent to b, that is, it has the same Taylor expansion at 0 as b.*

Moreover, such an f is uniquely determined up to addition of flat functions[2] at p. In other words, the Taylor expansion of f gives a unique formal power series solution for the realization problem of the Whitney metric $d\sigma^2$ as a cross cap.

If the problem in the introduction is affirmative, then the set of analytic cross cap germs which have the same first fundamental form can be identified with the set of convergent power series in one variable.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. The uniqueness of f modulo flat functions and the second assertion of Theorem 1.10 immediately imply Proposition 1.4, by setting $d\sigma^2 = f_1^* ds^2_{\boldsymbol{R}^3}$ and $f = f_2$, where $ds^2_{\boldsymbol{R}^3}$ is the canonical metric of the Euclidean 3-space $\boldsymbol{R}^3$. □

[2] A C^∞ function $h(u, v)$ is called *flat* (at p) if $\partial^{k+l} h(p)/\partial u^k \partial v^l$ vanishes at p for all non negative integers k, l.

1.3. **The strategy of the proof of Theorem 1.10.** From now on, we fix a Whitney metric

$$d\sigma^2 = E\,du^2 + 2F\,du\,dv + G\,dv^2$$

defined on a neighborhood U of the origin $o = (0,0)$ in the (u,v)-plane $\boldsymbol{R}^2$. We suppose that o is a singular point of $d\sigma^2$. We set

$$\mathcal{E} := [E], \qquad \mathcal{F} := [F], \qquad \mathcal{G} := [G],$$

that is, $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ are the formal power series in $\boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$ associated to the coefficients of the metric $d\sigma^2$.

Definition 1.11. A formal power series

$$P := \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{P(k,l)}{k!l!} u^k v^l \qquad (P(k,l) \in \boldsymbol{R}) \tag{1.10}$$

in $\boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$ is said to be of *order at least* m if

$$P(k,l) = 0 \qquad (k+l < m).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_m$ the ideal of $\boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$ consisting of series of order at least m. By definition, $\mathcal{O}_0 = \boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$. In [3], the following assertion was given:

Fact 1.12 ([3, Theorem 4.11])**.** *One can choose the local coordinate system (u,v) centered at the singular point of $d\sigma^2$ so that*

$$\mathcal{E}\ (=[E]) = 1 + a_{2,0}^2 u^2 + 2a_{2,0}a_{1,1}uv + (1+a_{1,1}^2)v^2 + O_3(u,v), \tag{1.11}$$

$$\mathcal{F}\ (=[F]) = a_{2,0}a_{1,1}u^2 + (a_{2,0}a_{0,2} + a_{1,1}^2 + 1)uv + a_{1,1}a_{0,2}v^2 + O_3(u,v), \tag{1.12}$$

$$\mathcal{G}\ (=[G]) = (1+a_{1,1}^2)u^2 + 2a_{1,1}a_{0,2}uv + a_{0,2}^2 v^2 + O_3(u,v), \tag{1.13}$$

where $a_{2,0}$, $a_{1,1}$ and $a_{0,2}$ (>0) are real numbers[3]*, and $O_m(u,v)$ $(m = 1,2,3,\dots)$ is a certain element of $\mathcal{O}_m$.*

So we can assume that our local coordinate system (u,v) satisfies (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13). We set

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{E}(k,l)}{k!l!} u^k v^l \qquad (\mathcal{E}(k,l) \in \boldsymbol{R}),$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{F}(k,l)}{k!l!} u^k v^l \qquad (\mathcal{F}(k,l) \in \boldsymbol{R}),$$

$$\mathcal{G} = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{G}(k,l)}{k!l!} u^k v^l \qquad (\mathcal{G}(k,l) \in \boldsymbol{R}).$$

We now fix a C^∞ function germ $b(t)$ satisfying $b(0) = b'(0) = b''(0) = 0$.

Lemma 1.13. *Let*

$$f = \Big(x(u,v),\ x(u,v)y(u,v) + b\big(y(u,v)\big),\ z(u,v)\Big)$$

[3] As shown in [3], $a_{2,0}$, $a_{1,1}$ and $a_{0,2}$ are invariants of the Whitney metric $d\sigma^2$.

be a C^∞ map having cross cap singularity at $(0,0)$ satisfying (1.4)*, $x(0,0) = y(0,0) = 0$ and*

$$b(0) = b'(0) = b''(0) = 0, \quad z(0,0) = z_u(0,0) = z_v(0,0), \quad z_{vv}(0,0) > 0 \tag{1.14}$$

such that the first fundamental form of f coincides with the Whitney metric $d\sigma^2$. Moreover, suppose that (u,v) satisfies (1.11)*,* (1.12) *and* (1.13)*. Then,*

$$x_u(0,0) = \pm 1, \quad x_v(0,0) = 0, \quad x_u(0,0)y_v(0,0) > 0.$$

Proof. Since $x(0,0) = y(0,0) = 0$, $b'(0) = 0$, and $z_u(0,0) = 0$, we have $f_u(0,0) = \big(x_u(0,0), 0, 0\big)$. In particular,

$$1 = \mathcal{E}(0,0) = f_u(0,0) \cdot f_u(0,0) = x_u(0,0)^2$$

holds and $x_u(0,0) = \pm 1$. On the other hand, we have

$$0 = \mathcal{F}(0,0) = f_u(0,0) \cdot f_v(0,0) = x_u(0,0)x_v(0,0),$$

and we get $x_v(0,0) = 0$. By (1.4),

$$0 < \left.\frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(u,v)}\right|_{(u,v)=(0,0)} = x_u(0,0)y_v(0,0)$$

holds, proving the assertion. □

Replacing (u,v) by $(-u,-v)$ if necessary, we may assume that

$$x_u(0,0) = 1, \qquad y_v(0,0) > 0. \tag{1.15}$$

The map f as in Lemma 1.13 satisfies

$$f_u \cdot f_u = (1+y^2)x_u^2 + 2\big(x + b'(y)\big)yx_uy_u + \big(x^2 + 2xb'(y) + b'(y)^2\big)y_u^2 + z_u^2, \tag{1.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_u \cdot f_v = {} & (1+y^2)x_ux_v + \big(x + b'(y)\big)y(x_uy_v + x_vy_u) \\ & + \big(x^2 + 2xb'(y) + b'(y)^2\big)y_uy_v + z_uz_v, \end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

$$f_v \cdot f_v = (1+y^2)x_v^2 + 2\big(x + b'(y)\big)yx_vy_v + \big(x^2 + 2xb'(y) + b'(y)^2\big)y_v^2 + z_v^2, \tag{1.18}$$

where

$$b'(t) := \frac{db(t)}{dt}. \tag{1.19}$$

Definition 1.14. We call

$$x_u^2, \quad y^2x_u^2, \quad xyx_uy_u, \quad b'(y)yx_uy_u, \quad x^2y_u^2, \quad xb'(y)y_u^2, \quad b'(y)^2y_u^2, \quad z_u^2 \tag{1.20}$$

the *terms of $f_u \cdot f_u$*. Similarly, the *terms of $f_u \cdot f_v$* (resp. the *terms of $f_v \cdot f_v$*) are also defined.

We consider the following three polynomials in u, v:

$$\mathcal{X}_{m+1} := u + \sum_{2 \le k+l \le m+1} \frac{X(k,l)}{k!l!}u^k u^l, \tag{1.21}$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{m-1} := \sum_{1 \le k+l \le m-1} \frac{Y(k,l)}{k!l!}u^k v^l, \tag{1.22}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_m := \sum_{2 \le k+l \le m} \frac{Z(k,l)}{k!l!}u^k v^l. \tag{1.23}$$

We set

$$f^m := \big(\mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}\mathcal{Y}_{m-1} + \beta_{m+1}(\mathcal{Y}_{m-1}), \mathcal{Z}_m\big), \qquad \beta_{m+1}(t) := \sum_{j=3}^{m+1} \frac{b_j}{j!} t^j,$$

where $[b] = \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} b_j t^j/j!$. By definition, each coefficient of f^m is a polynomial in the coefficients of $\mathcal{X}_{m+1}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_m$. To describe the key assertion (cf. Proposition 1.16), we prepare a terminology as follows:

Definition 1.15. A triple of polynomials $(\mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}, \mathcal{Z}_m)$ as in (1.21), (1.22) and (1.23) are called the *m-th formal solution* if they satisfy (cf. (1.14) and (1.15))

$$X(0,0) = Y(0,0) = 0, \quad X(1,0) = 1, \quad Y(0,1) > 0,$$
$$Z(0,0) = Z(1,0) = Z(0,1) = 0, \quad Z(0,2) > 0$$

and

$$\mathcal{E} = [f^m_u \cdot f^m_u] + O_{m+1}(u,v), \tag{1.24}$$
$$\mathcal{F} = [f^m_u \cdot f^m_v] + O_{m+1}(u,v), \tag{1.25}$$
$$\mathcal{G} = [f^m_v \cdot f^m_v] + O_{m+1}(u,v), \tag{1.26}$$

where $O_{m+1}(u,v)$ is a term belonging to $\mathcal{O}_{m+1}$.

The key assertion, which we would like to prove in Section 4, is stated as follows:

Proposition 1.16. *Let (u,v) be a local coordinate system satisfying* (1.11), (1.12) *and* (1.13). *Then for each $m \geq 2$, there exists a unique m-th formal solution.*

We prove here the case $m = 2$ of the proposition:

Lemma 1.17. *Let (u,v) be a local coordinate system satisfying* (1.11), (1.12) *and* (1.13). *Then there exists a unique second formal solution. More precisely it has the following expressions:*

$$\mathcal{X}_3 = u, \qquad \mathcal{Y}_1 = v,$$
$$\mathcal{Z}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(a_{2,0}u^2 + 2a_{1,1}uv + a_{0,2}v^2).$$

Proof. By a straightforward calculation using $b(0) = b'(0) = b''(0) = 0$, we have

$$[f_u \cdot f_u] = 1 + 2uX(2,0) + 2vX(1,1) + O_2(u,v).$$

Since $1 = \mathcal{E} = [f_u \cdot f_u] + O_2(u,v)$, we can conclude that $X(2,0) = X(1,1) = 0$. Similarly, using $z_u(0,0) = z_v(0,0) = 0$, we have

$$0 = \mathcal{F} = [f_u \cdot f_v] = vX(0,2) + O_2(u,v).$$

In particular, $X(0,2) = 0$. Using the fact $X(j,k) = 0$ $(j+k=2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[f_u \cdot f_u] = 1 &+ u^2\left(X(3,0) + 4Y(1,0)^2 + Z(2,0)^2\right) \\ &+ 2uv\left(X(2,1) + 2Y(0,1)Y(1,0) + Z(1,1)Z(2,0)\right) \\ &+ v^2\left(X(1,2) + Y(0,1)^2 + Z(1,1)^2\right) + O_3(u,v).\end{aligned}$$

By (1.24), we have

$$X(3,0) + 4Y(1,0)^2 + Z(2,0)^2 = a_{2,0}^2, \tag{1.27}$$
$$X(2,1) + 2Y(0,1)Y(1,0) + Z(1,1)Z(2,0) = a_{2,0}a_{1,1}, \tag{1.28}$$
$$X(1,2) + Y(0,1)^2 + Z(1,1)^2 = 1 + a_{1,1}^2. \tag{1.29}$$

By (1.25), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}X(2,1) + 2Y(0,1)Y(1,0) + Z(1,1)Z(2,0) = a_{2,0}a_{1,1}, \tag{1.30}$$

$$X(1,2) + Y(0,1)^2 + Z(1,1)^2 + Z(0,2)Z(2,0) = 1 + a_{1,1}^2 + a_{2,0}a_{0,2}, \tag{1.31}$$

$$X(0,3) + 2Z(0,2)Z(1,1) = 2a_{1,1}a_{0,2}. \tag{1.32}$$

Similarly, (1.26) yields

$$Y(0,1)^2 + Z(1,1)^2 = 1 + a_{1,1}^2, \tag{1.33}$$

$$Z(0,2)Z(1,1) = a_{1,1}a_{0,2}, \tag{1.34}$$

$$Z(0,2)^2 = a_{0,2}^2. \tag{1.35}$$

Since $Z(0,2)$ and $a_{0,2}$ are positive (cf. Fact 1.12 and (1.14)), (1.35) reduces to $Z(0,2) = a_{0,2}$. Then (1.34) yields that $Z(1,1) = a_{1,1}$. Moreover, (1.33) reduces to $Y(0,1) = 1$ because of $Y(0,1) > 0$ (cf. (1.15)). On the other hand, (1.32) implies $X(0,3) = 0$. Also $X(1,2) = 0$ follows from (1.29). Then (1.31) yields $Z(2,0) = a_{2,0}$. Finally, (1.28) and (1.30) reduce to

$$X(2,1) + 2Y(1,0) = 0, \quad X(2,1) + 4Y(1,0) = 0.$$

So we have $X(2,1) = Y(1,0) = 0$. Moreover, (1.27) yields $X(3,0) = 0$. □

Now we can prove Theorem 1.10 under the assumption that Proposition 1.16 is proved:

Proof of Theorem 1.10. By Proposition 1.16, we get formal power series X, Y, $Z \in \boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$ such that $F := (X, XY + b(Y), Z)$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{E} = [F_u \cdot F_u], \quad \mathcal{F} = [F_u \cdot F_v], \quad \mathcal{G} = [F_v \cdot F_v]. \tag{1.36}$$

In fact, the coefficients b_j $(j \geq m+1)$ do not affect our computation, and

$$\begin{aligned}
[F_u \cdot F_u] &= [F_u^m \cdot F_u^m] + O_{m+1}(u,v),\\
[F_u \cdot F_v] &= [F_u^m \cdot F_v^m] + O_{m+1}(u,v),\\
[F_v \cdot F_v] &= [F_v^m \cdot F_v^m] + O_{m+1}(u,v)
\end{aligned}$$

hold, where $O_{m+1}(u,v)$ is a term in $\mathcal{O}_{m+1}$. Then by Borel's theorem, there exist C^∞ functions x, y, z whose Taylor series are X, Y, Z, respectively. So we set

$$f(u,v) := \big(x(u,v), x(u,v)y(u,v) + b\big(y(u,v)\big), z(u,v)\big)\,,$$

then the first fundamental form of f is formally isometric to $d\sigma^2$. By Lemma 1.17, the map $(u,v) \mapsto (x(u,v), y(u,v))$ is a local diffeomorphism at the origin. Taking (x,y) as a new local coordinate system, we can write $u = u(x,y)$ and $v = v(x,y)$. So (x,y) gives the canonical coordinate system of the map f. Thus f satisfies (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.10. □

2. Properties of power series

In this section, we prepare several properties of power series to prove the case $m \geq 3$ of Proposition 1.16. As in Section 1, we denote by $\boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$ the ring of formal power series with two variables u, v in real coefficients. Each element of $\boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$ can be written as in (1.10). Each $P(k,l)$ $(k,l \geq 0)$ is called the (k,l)-*coefficient* of the power series P. Moreover, the sum $k+l$ is called the *order* of the coefficient

$P(k,l)$. In particular, $P(k,l)$ $(k+l=m)$ consist of all coefficients of order m. The formal partial derivatives of P denoted by

$$P_u := \partial P/\partial u, \qquad P_v := \partial P/\partial v$$

are defined in the usual manner.

Lemma 2.1. *The (k,l)-coefficient of the (formal) partial derivatives P_u and P_v of P are given by*

$$P_u(k,l) = P(k+1,l), \qquad P_v(k,l) = P(k,l+1).$$

Linear operations on power series also have a simple description as follows:

Lemma 2.2. *Let P, Q be two power series in $\boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$, and let α, $\beta \in \boldsymbol{R}$. Then*

$$(\alpha P + \beta Q)(k,l) = \alpha P(k,l) + \beta Q(k,l).$$

The coefficient formula for products is as follows:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $P_1, \ldots, P_N$ be power series in $\boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$. Then*

$$(P_1 \cdots P_N)(k,l) = k!l! \sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_N=k,\\ t_1+\cdots+t_N=l}} \frac{P_1(s_1,t_1)\cdots P_s(s_N,t_N)}{s_1!t_1!\cdots s_N!t_N!}. \tag{2.1}$$

If $N=2$, and $P_1 = P$ and $P_2 = Q$, then the formula (2.1) reduces to the following:

$$(PQ)(k,l) := k!l! \sum_{s=0}^{k}\sum_{t=0}^{l} \frac{P(s,t)Q(k-s,l-t)}{s!t!(k-s)!(l-t)!}. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, setting Q to be the monomial u or v, we get the following:

Corollary 2.4.

$$(uP)(k,l) = kP(k-1,l), \quad (vP)(k,l) = lP(k,l-1)$$

hold, where coefficients with negative induces $P(-k,l)$, $P(m,-n)$ $(k,n>0, l,m \in \boldsymbol{Z})$ are considered as 0.

In Definition 1.11, we defined the ideal $\mathcal{O}_m$ of $\boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$ consisting of formal power series of order at least m. The following assertion is obvious:

Lemma 2.5. *If $P \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $Q \in \mathcal{O}_m$, then $P+Q \in \mathcal{O}_r$, where $r = \min\{n,m\}$.*

Let P_j $(j=1,\ldots,N)$ be a power series in $\mathcal{O}_{n_j}$. For a given non-negative integer m, we set

$$\langle P_j | P_1 \cdots P_N \rangle_m := m - \sum_{k\neq j} n_k.$$

Roughly speaking, this number is an upper bound of the degree of the terms of P_j to compute the m-th order term of $P_1 \cdots P_N$, as follows.

Proposition 2.6. *Let P_j be a power series in $\mathcal{O}_{n_j}$ $(j=1,\ldots,N)$. Then the product $P_1\cdots P_N$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{O}_{n_1+\cdots+n_N}$. Moreover, each (k,l)-coefficient $(P_1\cdots P_N)(k,l)$ $(k+l=m)$ of order m can be written as a homogeneous polynomial of degree N in the variables generated by*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} P_i(a_i,b_i) \qquad \Big(n_i \le a_i + b_i \le \langle P_i | P_1\cdots P_N\rangle_m\Big).$$

The proof of this assertion is not so difficult, and so we leave it as an exercise. As a consequence, we get the following:

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\pi_m : \boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]] \to \boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]/\mathcal{O}_{m+1}$ be the canonical homomorphism. The m-th order term of $P_1 \cdots P_N$ are determined by*

$$\pi_{m_1}(P_1), \ldots, \pi_{m_N}(P_N),$$

where

$$m_i := \langle P_i | P_1 \cdots P_N \rangle_m \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, N).$$

In other words, to compute the m-th order terms of $P_1 \ldots P_N$, we need only the at most degree m_i terms of P_i.

Example 2.8. We set $P \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $Q \in \mathcal{O}_1$ as

$$P := c_1 uv + c_2 v^2 + c_3 u^3 v + \cdots, \quad Q := d_1 u + d_2 v + d_3 u^3 + \cdots,$$

respectively. Then it holds that

$$\langle P | PQ \rangle_3 = 3 - 1 = 2, \qquad \langle Q | PQ \rangle_3 = 3 - 2 = 1.$$

To compute PQ modulo $\mathcal{O}_4$, we need the information of $\pi_2(P)$ and $\pi_1(Q)$. So, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} PQ &= (c_1 uv + c_2 v^2)(d_1 u + d_2 v) + O_4(u,v) \\ &= (c_1 d_2 + c_2 d_1) uv^2 + c_1 d_1 u^2 v + c_2 d_2 v^3 + O_4(u,v), \end{aligned}$$

and so $PQ \in \mathcal{O}_3$, where $O_j(u,v)$ is an element of $\mathcal{O}_j$ $(j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$. The coefficients of the terms of order 3 are

$$c_1 d_2 + c_2 d_1, \qquad c_1 d_1, \qquad c_2 d_2.$$

They are homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 in the variables c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2.

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ be power series in $\boldsymbol{R}[[u,v]]$. We suppose that for each P_i $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$ there exists a unique number $\mu_i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that

$$P_i = X_{\mu_i}, \ (X_{\mu_i})_u, \ \text{or} \ \ (X_{\mu_i})_v. \tag{2.3}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we set

$$\check{X}_i := X_{\mu_i}. \tag{2.4}$$

In this case, each coefficient of the product $P_1 \cdots P_N$ can be expressed as coefficients of $X_1, \ldots, X_r$. For each non-negative integer m, we set

$$\langle \check{X}_i | P_i, P_1 \cdots P_N \rangle_m := \begin{cases} \langle P_i | P_1 \cdots P_N \rangle_m & \text{if } P_i = \check{X}_i, \\ \langle P_i | P_1 \cdots P_N \rangle_m + 1 & \text{if } P_i = (\check{X}_i)_u \text{ or } (\check{X}_i)_v. \end{cases}$$

Roughly speaking, this number is an upper bound of the degree of the terms of $\check{X}_i$ appeared in P_i to compute the m-th order term of $P_1 \cdots P_N$. In fact, by applying Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.1 to this situation, we get the following:

Proposition 2.9. *Under the conventions* (2.3) *and* (2.4)*, each (k,l)-coefficient $(P_1 \ldots P_N)(k,l)$ $(k + l = m)$ of order m is a homogeneous polynomial of degree N in the variables generated by*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \check{X}_i(b,c) \qquad \Big(0 \le b + c \le \langle \check{X}_i | P_i, P_1 \cdots P_N \rangle_m \Big).$$

Corollary 2.10. *The m-th order terms of $P_1 \cdots P_N$ are determined by*

$$\pi_{m_1'}(\check{X}_1),\ \ldots,\ \pi_{m_n'}(\check{X}_N),$$

where

$$m_i' := \langle \check{X}_i | P_i, P_1 \cdots P_N \rangle_m \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, N).$$

In other words, to compute $P_1 \cdots P_N$, we need only the at most degree m_i' terms of $\check{X}_i$.

Example 2.11. We set

$$X := x_1 uv + x_2 v^2 + x_3 u^3 v + \cdots, \quad Y := y_1 u + y_2 v + y_3 u^3 + \cdots,$$

and $P := X_u$, $Q := Y_u$. Then,

$$P = x_1 v + 3x_3 u^2 v + \cdots, \quad PQ = y_1 + 3y_3 u^2 + \cdots.$$

Since $P \in \mathcal{O}_1$ and $Q \in \mathcal{O}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X | P, PQ \rangle_1 &= \langle P | PQ \rangle_1 + 1 = (1 - 0) + 1 = 2, \\ \langle Y | Q, PQ \rangle_1 &= \langle Q | PQ \rangle_1 + 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} PQ &= (\pi_2(X))_u \, (\pi_1(Y))_u + O_2(u, v) \\ &= \left(x_1 uv + x_2 v^2\right)_u (y_1 u + y_2 v)_u + O_2(u, v) = x_1 y_1 u + O_2(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X | P, PQ \rangle_2 &= \langle P | PQ \rangle_2 + 1 = (2 - 0) + 1 = 3, \\ \langle Y | P, PQ \rangle_2 &= \langle Q | PQ \rangle_2 + 1 = (2 - 1) + 1 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} PQ &= (\pi_3(X))_u \ \ (\pi_2(Y))_u + O_3(u, v) \\ &= \left(x_1 uv + x_2 v^2 + x_3 u^3 v\right)_u (y_1 u + y_2 v)_u + O_3(u, v) \\ &= x_1 y_1 v + O_3(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the upper bound $\langle Y | Q, PQ \rangle_2 = 2$ of the order of Y for the contribution of the order 2 coefficients of PQ is not sharp. In fact, there are no order 2 terms for PQ. Also, this does not contradict Proposition 2.9, since 0 can be considered as a homogeneous polynomial of order 2 whose coefficients are all zero.

3. The ignorable terms when determining f^m

3.1. **The leading terms and ignorable terms.** Let $x(u, v)$, $y(u, v)$, $z(u, v)$ and $b(t)$ be C^∞ functions as in Lemma 1.13, and P a polynomial in

$$x,\ x_u,\ x_v \quad y,\ y_u,\ y_v, \quad z,\ z_u,\ z_v, \quad b'(y).$$

Each term (cf. (1.20)) of $(f_u \cdot f_u)$, $(f_u \cdot f_v)$ or $(f_v \cdot f_v)$ is a typical example of such polynomials. We denote by $P|_m$ the finite formal power series in u, v (i.e. a polynomial in u, v) that results after the substitutions

$$x := \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \quad y := \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}, \quad z := \mathcal{Z}_m, \quad b(y) := \beta_{m+1}(\mathcal{Y}_{m-1})$$

into P.

Definition 3.1. A term T of $(f_u \cdot f_u)$, $(f_u \cdot f_v)$ or $(f_v \cdot f_v)$ is called an *m-ignorable term* ($m \geq 3$) if each m-th order coefficient

$$(T|_m)(j,k) \qquad (j+k=m)$$

does not contain any of the top term coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} &X(j,k) \qquad (j+k=m+1),\\ &Y(j,k) \qquad (j+k=m-1),\\ &Z(j,k) \qquad (j+k=m) \end{aligned}$$

of $\mathcal{X}_{m+1}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$, $\mathcal{Z}_m$ (cf. (1.21), (1.22) and (1.23)). A term which is not m-ignorable is called a *leading term* of order m.

For the computation of leading terms, we will use the following two convenient equivalence relations: Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the associative algebra generated by

$$X(j,k), \quad Y(j,k), \quad Z(j,k) \qquad (j,k=0,1,2,\dots).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_m$ the ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by

$$\begin{aligned} &X(j,k) \qquad (j+k\leq m+1),\\ &Y(j,k) \qquad (j+k\leq m-1),\\ &Z(j,k) \qquad (j+k\leq m). \end{aligned}$$

If two elements δ_1, $\delta_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy $\delta_1 - \delta_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{m-1}$, then we write

$$\delta_1 \equiv_m \delta_2. \tag{3.1}$$

Let P, Q be two polynomials in

$$x,\ x_u,\ x_v \quad y,\ y_u,\ y_v, \quad z,\ z_u,\ z_v,\ b'(y).$$

If all of the coefficients of $P|_m - Q|_m$ (as a polynomial in u, v) are contained in $\mathcal{A}_{m-1}$, we denote this by

$$P \equiv_m Q.$$

This notation is the same as the one used in (3.1), and this is rather useful for unifying the symbols. For example, if the term T satisfies

$$T \equiv_m 0$$

if and only if the term T is m-ignorable.

3.2. **The properties of terms containing $b'(y)$.** In the right hand sides of (1.16), (1.17) and (1.18), terms containing b' appear, and they are

$$b'(y)yx_uy_u, \quad b'(y)xy_u^2, \quad b'(y)^2y_u^2 \qquad (\text{in } f_u \cdot f_u), \tag{3.2}$$

$$b'(y)yx_uy_v, \quad b'(y)yx_vy_u, \quad b'(y)xy_uy_v, \quad b'(y)^2y_uy_v \qquad (\text{in } f_u \cdot f_v), \tag{3.3}$$

$$b'(y)yx_vy_v, \quad b'(y)xy_v^2, \quad b'(y)^2y_v^2 \qquad (\text{in } f_v \cdot f_v), \tag{3.4}$$

respectively.

In this subsection, we show that the terms as in (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) are all m-ignorable. To prove this, we prepare the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Each m-th order coefficient of the power series associated to $b'(y(u,v))$ can be expressed as a polynomial in the variables $Y(k,l)$ $(k+l \leq m-1)$.*

Proof. We can write (cf. (1.19))

$$B := [b'] = \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{B(r)}{r!} t^r.$$

Since $Y \in \mathcal{O}_1$ and the index satisfies $r \geq 2$, we have

$$\langle Y | Y^r \rangle_m = m - (r-1) \leq m - 1.$$

By Lemma 2.6, each m-th order term of Y^r is a homogeneous polynomial of degree r in variables $Y(k,l)$ $(k+l \leq m-1)$ for all $r \geq 2$. In particular, each m-th order term of the power series induced by

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{B(r)}{r!} Y^r$$

can be expressed as a polynomial of degree at most m in variables $Y(k,l)$ $(k+l \leq m-1)$, which proves the assertion. □

In this situation, we set

$$\langle Y | \mathcal{B}(Y), P_1 \cdots P_N \rangle_m := \langle \mathcal{B}(Y) | P_1 \cdots P_N \rangle_m - 1.$$

Then, this number gives an upper bound of the degree of the terms of Y appeared in $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ to compute the m-th order term of $P_1 \cdots P_N$.

Proposition 3.3. *The terms given in* (3.2)*,* (3.3) *and* (3.4) *are all m-ignorable.*

Proof. We can categorize the terms in (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) into three classes. One is

$$\begin{aligned} &b'(y) y x_u y_u \in \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_0\mathcal{O}_1, \quad b'(y) y x_u y_v \in \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_0\mathcal{O}_0, \\ &b'(y) y x_v y_u \in \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_3\mathcal{O}_1, \quad b'(y) y x_v y_v \in \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_3\mathcal{O}_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and the other two classes are

$$b'(y) x y_u^2 \in \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1,\ b'(y) x y_u y_v \in \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_0,\ b'(y) x y_v^2 \in \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_0\mathcal{O}_0, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$b'(y)^2 y_u^2 \in \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1,\ b'(y)^2 y_u y_v \in \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_0,\ b'(y)^2 y_v^2 \in \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_0\mathcal{O}_0, \tag{3.7}$$

respectively.

We consider the term $b'(y) y x_u y_v$ in (3.5). We have

$$\langle Y | \mathcal{B}(Y), \mathcal{B}(Y) Y X_u Y_v \rangle_m = (m-1-0-0) - 1 = m - 2.$$

Since $m-2$ is less than $m-1$, $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ does not effect the leading term (cf. Lemma 3.2). Similarly, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y | \mathcal{B}(Y) Y X_u Y_v \rangle_m &= m - 2 - 0 - 0 = m - 2 \ (< m-1), \\ \langle X | X_u, \mathcal{B}(Y) Y X_u Y_v \rangle_m &= (m - 2 - 1 - 0) + 1 = m - 2 \ (< m+1), \\ \langle Y | Y_v, \mathcal{B}(Y) Y X_u Y_v \rangle_m &= (m - 2 - 1 - 0) + 1 = m - 2 \ (< m-1), \end{aligned}$$

and we can conclude that $b'(y) y x_u y_v$ is an m-ignorable term. Similarly, one can also prove that other three terms in (3.5) are also m-ignorable.

We next consider the term $b'(y) x y_v^2$ in (3.6). We have

$$\langle Y | \mathcal{B}(Y), \mathcal{B}(Y) X Y_v^2 \rangle_m = (m-1-0-0) - 1 = m - 2 \ (< m-1).$$

Hence, the coefficients of Y appeared in $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ do not effect the leading term. Similarly, the facts

$$\langle X|\mathcal{B}(Y)XY_v^2\rangle_m = m-2-0-0 = m-2\ (<m),$$
$$\langle Y|Y_v, \mathcal{B}(Y)XY_v^2\rangle_m = (m-2-1-0)+1 = m-2\ (<m-1),$$

imply that the term $b'(y)xy_v^2$ is an m-ignorable term. Similarly, other two terms in (3.6) are also m-ignorable.

Finally, we consider the term $b'(y)^2y_v^2$ in (3.7). Since

$$\langle Y|\mathcal{B}(Y), \mathcal{B}(Y)^2Y_v^2\rangle_m = (m-2-0-0)-1 = m-3\ (<m-1),$$

the coefficients of Y appearing in $b'(Y)$ do not effect the leading term. We have

$$\langle Y|Y_v, \mathcal{B}(Y)^2Y_v^2\rangle_m = (m-2-2-0)+1 = m-3\ (<m-1),$$

and can conclude that $b'(y)^2y_v^2$ is an m-ignorable term. Similarly, other two terms in (3.7) are also m-ignorable. □

The following terms

$$x^2y_u^2 \qquad (\text{in } f_u\cdot f_u), \tag{3.8}$$
$$x_ux_vy^2, \quad xyx_vy_u \qquad (\text{in } f_u\cdot f_v), \tag{3.9}$$
$$xyx_vy_v, \quad x_v^2, \quad x_v^2y^2 \qquad (\text{in } f_v\cdot f_v) \tag{3.10}$$

appear in (1.16), (1.17) and (1.18). We show the following:

Proposition 3.4. *The terms given in* (3.8)*,* (3.9) *and* (3.10) *are all m-ignorable terms.*

Proof. Since $x^2y_u^2 \in \mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1$, we have

$$\langle X|X^2Y_u^2\rangle_m = m-1-1-1 = m-3\ (<m+1),$$
$$\langle Y|Y_u, X^2Y_u^2\rangle_m = (m-1-1-1)+1 = m-2\ (<m-1).$$

This implies that $x^2y_u^2$ is m-ignorable. On the other hand, $x_ux_vy^2$ and $x_v^2y^2$ both consist of two derivatives of x and y^2, and the former term has lower total order, so if we show $x_ux_vy^2$ is m-ignorable, then so is $x_v^2y^2$. In fact, since $x_ux_vy^2 \in \mathcal{O}_0\mathcal{O}_3\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X|X_u, X_uX_vY^2\rangle_m &\le \langle X|X_v, X_uX_vY^2\rangle_m\\ &= m-0-1-1+1 = m-1\ (<m+1),\\ \langle Y|X_uX_vY^2\rangle_m &= m-0-3-1 = m-4\ (<m-1).\end{aligned}$$

So $x_ux_vy^2$ is m-ignorable.

Finally, xyx_vy_u and xyx_vy_v both consist of xy and derivatives of x, y. The term xyx_vy_v has lower total order. So if it is m-ignorable, then so is xyx_vy_u. The fact that $xyx_vy_v \in \mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_3\mathcal{O}_0$ is m-ignorable follows from the following computations:

$$\langle X|XYX_vY_v\rangle_m = \langle Y|XYX_vY_v\rangle_m = m-1-3-0 = m-4\ (<m-1),$$
$$\langle X|X_v, XYX_vY_v\rangle_m = (m-1-1-0)+1 = m-1\ (<m+1),$$
$$\langle Y|Y_v, XYX_vY_v\rangle_m = (m-1-1-3)+1 = m-4\ (<m-1).$$

Finally, $x_v^2 \in \mathcal{O}_3\mathcal{O}_3$ is m-ignorable because $\langle X|X_v, X_v^2\rangle_m = m-2\ (<m+1)$. □

4. The existence of the formal power series solution

4.1. **Leading terms of $(f_u \cdot f_u)$, $(f_u \cdot f_v)$ and $(f_v \cdot f_v)$.** Applying the computations in the previous section, we prove the following:

Proposition 4.1. *Let m be an integer greater than 2, and k, l non-negative integers such that*

$$k + l = m \geq 3. \tag{4.1}$$

Then the m-th order terms of the equalities (1.24), (1.25) *and* (1.26) *reduce to the following relations*:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(k,l) \equiv_m 2\Big(& X(k+1,l) + (k+1)lY(k,l-1) \\ & + ka_{2,0}Z(k,l) + la_{1,1}Z(k+1,l-1)\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(k,l) \equiv_m & X(k,l+1) + mkY(k-1,l) + ka_{2,0}Z(k-1,l+1) \\ & + ma_{1,1}Z(k,l) + la_{0,2}Z(k+1,l-1),\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}(k,l) \equiv_m 2\Big(& k(k-1)Y(k-2,l+1) \\ & + ka_{1,1}Z(k-1,l+1) + la_{0,2}Z(k,l)\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}Y(m,-1) = Y(-1,m) = Y(-2,m+1) = Y(m+1,-2) \\ = Z(-1,m+1) = Z(m+1,-1) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Removing the m-ignorable terms (3.2) and (3.8) from $[f_u \cdot f_u]$ in (1.16), we have

$$[f_u \cdot f_u] \equiv_m L_1, \qquad L_1 := X_u^2 + Y^2X_u^2 + 2XYX_uY_u + Z_u^2.$$

Similarly, we get from (1.17), (1.18), (3.2) and (3.8) that

$$[f_u \cdot f_v] \equiv_m L_2, \qquad [f_v \cdot f_v] \equiv_m L_3,$$

where

$$L_2 := X_uX_v + XYX_uY_v + X^2Y_uY_v + Z_uZ_v, \qquad L_3 := X^2Y_v^2 + Z_v^2.$$

The first term of L_1 is X_u^2. We can write $X = u + \tilde{X}$ ($\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{O}_4$). Since

$$\langle \tilde{X} | \tilde{X}_u, \tilde{X}_u^2 \rangle_m = m - 2 \ (< m+1),$$

we have

$$X_u^2 = (1 + \tilde{X}_u)^2 \equiv_m 2\tilde{X}_u$$

and

$$X_u^2(k,l) \equiv_m 2\tilde{X}_u(k,l) \equiv_m 2X_u(k,l) = 2X(k+1,l) \qquad (k+l = m \geq 3), \tag{4.5}$$

where we have applied Lemma 2.1. The second term of L_1 is $Y^2X_u^2$. Since

$$\langle X | X_u, Y^2X_u^2 \rangle_m = m - 1 - 1 - 0 + 1 = m - 1 \ (< m+1)$$

and

$$\langle Y | Y^2X_u^2 \rangle_m = m - 1 - 0 - 0 = m - 1,$$

the m-th order terms of $Y^2X_u^2$ might not be m-ignorable. In fact, it can be written in terms of the $(m-1)$-st order coefficients of Y as follows. Since $X_u = 1 + \tilde{X}_u$ ($\tilde{X}_u \in \mathcal{O}_3$), we have $Y^2X_u^2 \equiv_m Y^2$. Since $Y = v + \tilde{Y}$ ($\tilde{Y} \in \mathcal{O}_2$) and $\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{Y}^2 \rangle_m = m-2$ ($< m-1$), $\tilde{Y}^2$ is an m-ignorable term. Thus, we have

$$Y^2 \equiv_m v^2 + 2v\tilde{Y} + \tilde{Y}^2 \equiv_m 2v\tilde{Y},$$

and so

$$(Y^2X_u^2)(k,l) \equiv_m 2(v\tilde{Y})(k,l) \equiv_m 2(vY)(k,l) \equiv_m 2lY(k,l-1), \tag{4.6}$$

where we have applied Corollary 2.4. We examine the third term XYX_uY_u of L_1. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle X | XYX_uY_u \rangle_m &= m-1-0-1 = m-2 \ (< m+1),\\
\langle Y | XYX_uY_u \rangle_m &= m-1-0-1 = m-2 \ (< m-1),\\
\langle X | X_u, XYX_uY_u \rangle_m &= (m-1-1-1)+1 = m-2 \ (< m+1),\\
\langle Y | Y_u, XYX_uY_u \rangle_m &= (m-1-1-0)+1 = m-1,
\end{aligned}$$

the m-th order terms of XYX_uY_u can be written in terms of the coefficients of Y_u modulo $\mathcal{A}_{m-1}$. Thus

$$XYX_uY_u = (u+\tilde{X})(v+\tilde{Y})(1+\tilde{X}_u)Y_u \equiv_m uvY_u$$

and

$$(XYX_uY_u)(k,l) \equiv_m (uvY_u)(k,l) = klY_u(k-1,l-1) \equiv_m klY(k,l-1). \tag{4.7}$$

The fourth term of L_1 is Z_u^2. Since $Z_u^2 \in \mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1$, we have

$$\langle Z | Z_u, Z_u^2 \rangle_m = (m-1)+1 = m.$$

Hence the m-th order terms of Z_u^2 can be written in terms of the coefficients of Z_u modulo $\mathcal{A}_{m-1}$. If we write

$$Z = \frac{1}{2}(a_{2,0}u^2 + 2a_{1,1}uv + a_{0,2}v^2) + \tilde{Z} \qquad (\tilde{Z} \in \mathcal{O}_3),$$

then $\tilde{Z}_u^2$ is an m-ignorable term, and

$$Z_u^2 \equiv_m (a_{2,0}u + a_{1,1}v + \tilde{Z}_u)^2 \equiv_m 2\left(a_{2,0}u\tilde{Z}_u + a_{1,1}v\tilde{Z}_u\right).$$

Since $Z(k,l) = \tilde{Z}(k,l)$ for $k+l \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_u^2(k,l) &\equiv_m 2a_{2,0}(uZ_u)(k,l) + 2a_{1,1}(vZ_u)(k,l)\\
&= 2a_{2,0}kZ_u(k-1,l) + 2a_{1,1}lZ_u(k,l-1)\\
&= 2ka_{2,0}Z(k,l) + 2la_{1,1}Z(k+1,l-1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

By (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), we have (4.2).

We next prove (4.3). Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle X | X_u, X_uX_v \rangle_m &= m-3+1 = m-2 \ (< m+1),\\
\langle X | X_v, X_uX_v \rangle_m &= m-0+1 = m+1,
\end{aligned}$$

X_v contributes to the leading term. Thus

$$X_uX_v \equiv_m (1+\tilde{X}_u)X_v \equiv_m X_v$$

and

$$(X_uX_v)(k,l) \equiv_m X_v(k,l) = X(k,l+1). \tag{4.9}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X|XYX_uY_v\rangle_m &= m-1-0-0 = m-1\ (<m+1),\\ \langle Y|XYX_uY_v\rangle_m &= m-1-0-0 = m-1,\\ \langle X|X_u, XYX_uY_v\rangle_m &= (m-1-1-0)+1 = m-1\ (<m+1),\\ \langle Y|Y_v, XYX_uY_u\rangle_m &= (m-1-1-0)+1 = m-1,\end{aligned}$$

the coefficients of the factors Y and Y_v appear in the leading terms. Thus $XYX_uY_v \equiv_m (u+\tilde{X})(v+\tilde{Y})(1+\tilde{X}_u)(1+\tilde{Y}_v) \equiv_m u(v+\tilde{Y})(1+\tilde{Y}_v) \equiv_m uv\tilde{Y}_v + u\tilde{Y}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(XYX_uY_v)(k,l) &\equiv_m (uvY_v)(k,l) + (uY)(k,l)\\ &\equiv_m klY_v(k-1,l-1) + kY(k-1,l) \equiv_m k(l+1)Y(k-1,l).\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

The third term of L_2 is $X^2Y_uY_v$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X|X^2Y_uY_v\rangle_m &= m-1-1-0 = m-2\ (<m+1),\\ \langle Y|Y_u, X^2Y_uY_v\rangle_m &= m-1-1-0+1 = m-1,\\ \langle Y|Y_v, X^2Y_uY_v\rangle_m &= m-1-1-1+1 = m-2\ (<m-1),\end{aligned}$$

only the factor Y_u affects the computation of the leading term. So we have

$$X^2Y_uY_v \equiv_m (u+\tilde{X})^2Y_u(1+\tilde{Y}_v) \equiv_m u^2Y_u$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(X^2Y_uY_v)(k,l) &\equiv_m (u^2Y_u)(k,l)\\ &\equiv_m k(k-1)Y_u(k-2,l) \equiv_m k(k-1)Y(k-1,l).\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

The fourth term of L_2 is $Z_uZ_v \in \mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_1$. Since

$$\langle Z|Z_u, Z_uZ_v\rangle_m = \langle Z|Z_v, Z_uZ_v\rangle_m = m-1+1 = m,$$

Z_u and Z_v both contribute to the leading terms, and

$$\begin{aligned}Z_uZ_v &= (a_{2,0}u + a_{1,1}v + \tilde{Z}_u)(a_{1,1}u + a_{0,2}v + \tilde{Z}_v)\\ &\equiv_m (a_{1,1}u + a_{0,2}v)\tilde{Z}_u + (a_{2,0}u + a_{1,1}v)\tilde{Z}_v.\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}(Z_uZ_v)(k,l) &\equiv_m \big((a_{1,1}u + a_{0,2}v)Z_u\big)(k,l) + \big((a_{2,0}u + a_{1,1}v)Z_v\big)(k,l)\\ &\equiv_m ka_{1,1}Z(k,l) + la_{0,2}Z(k+1,l-1) + ka_{2,0}Z(k-1,l+1) + la_{1,1}Z(k,l)\\ &= ka_{2,0}Z(k-1,l+1) + ma_{1,1}Z(k,l) + la_{0,2}Z(k+1,l-1).\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12), we obtain (4.3).

Finally, we consider L_3. The first term of L_3 is $X^2Y_v^2$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X|X^2Y_v^2\rangle_m &= m-1-0-0 = m-1\ (<m+1),\\ \langle Y|Y_v, X^2Y_v^2\rangle_m &= m-1-1-0+1 = m-1,\end{aligned}$$

the coefficients of Y_v affect the leading term of $X^2Y_v^2$. We have

$$(X^2Y_v^2) \equiv_m (u+\tilde{X})^2(1+\tilde{Y}_v)^2 \equiv_m 2u^2\tilde{Y}_v$$

and

$$(X^2Y_v^2)(k,l) \equiv_m 2\big(u^2Y_v\big)(k,l) \equiv_m 2k(k-1)Y(k-2,l+1). \tag{4.13}$$

The second term of L_3 is Z_v^2. Since

$$Z_v^2 \equiv_m (a_{1,1}u + a_{0,2}v + \tilde{Z}_v)^2 \equiv_m 2(a_{1,1}u + a_{0,2}v)\tilde{Z}_v,$$

we have

$$Z_v^2(k,l) \equiv_m 2\big((a_{1,1}u+a_{0,2}v)Z_v\big)(k,l) \equiv_m 2ka_{1,1}Z(k-1,l+1)+2la_{0,2}Z(k,l). \tag{4.14}$$

By (4.13) and (4.14), we obtain (4.4). □

4.2. **Proof of Proposition 1.16.** We prove the assertion by induction. By Lemma 1.17, we have already determined the coefficients

$$X(i,l) \quad (0 \le i+l \le 3), \quad Y(j,l) \quad (0 \le j+l \le 1), \quad Z(k,l) \quad (0 \le k+l \le 2).$$

For the sake of simplicity, we set

$$X_i := X(i, m-i+1), \quad Y_j := Y(k, m-j-1), \quad Z_k := Z(k, m-k). \tag{4.15}$$

We say that $W = X_i$, Y_j, Z_k is *m-fixed* if it is expressed in terms of

$$\begin{aligned} &X(i,l) && (0 \le i+l \le m),\\ &Y(j,l) && (0 \le j+l \le m-2),\\ &Z(k,l) && (0 \le k+l \le m-1),\\ &\mathcal{E}(i,l),\ \mathcal{F}(i,l),\ \mathcal{G}(i,l) && (0 \le i+l \le m). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$X_i \quad (i=0,\dots,m+1), \qquad Y_j \quad (j=0,\dots,m-1), \qquad Z_k \quad (k=0,\dots,m)$$

are all m-fixed. By (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we can write

$$X_{k+1} + (k+1)(m-k)Y_k + ka_{2,0}Z_k + (m-k)a_{1,1}Z_{k+1} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k, \tag{4.16}$$

$$X_k + mkY_{k-1} + ka_{2,0}Z_{k-1} + ma_{1,1}Z_k + (m-k)a_{0,2}Z_{k+1} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k, \tag{4.17}$$

$$k(k-1)Y_{k-2} + ka_{1,1}Z_{k-1} + (m-k)a_{0,2}Z_k = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k, \tag{4.18}$$

for $k = 0,\dots,m$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$ are all previously m-fixed terms, by the inductive assumption.

If we set $k = 0$ in (4.18), we have

$$Z_0 = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0}{ma_{0,2}}, \tag{4.19}$$

where we used the fact that $a_{0,2} > 0$. If we next set $k = 1$ in (4.18), then we have

$$a_{1,1}Z_0 + (m-1)a_{0,2}Z_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1$$

and

$$Z_1 = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1 - a_{1,1}Z_0}{(m-1)a_{0,2}}. \tag{4.20}$$

Hence Z_1 is m-fixed (cf. (4.19)). On the other hand, (4.18) for $2 \le k \le m$ can be rewritten as

$$(1+k)(2+k)Y_k + a_{1,1}(2+k)Z_{k+1} + a_{0,2}(-2-k+m)Z_{k+2} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+2} \tag{4.21}$$

for $k = 0,\dots,m-2$. If we set $k = 0$ in (4.17), then we have

$$X_0 + ma_{1,1}Z_0 + ma_{0,2}Z_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0. \tag{4.22}$$

Thus X_0 can be m-fixed. On the other hand, (4.17) for $1 \leq k \leq m$ can be rewritten as

$$X_{k+1}+m(k+1)Y_k+(k+1)a_{2,0}Z_k+ma_{1,1}Z_{k+1}+(m-k-1)a_{0,2}Z_{k+2} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{k+1}, \tag{4.23}$$

where $k = 0, \ldots, m-1$. Subtracting (4.16) from (4.23), we have

$$k(k+1)Y_k + a_{2,0}Z_k + ka_{1,1}Z_{k+1} + (m-k-1)a_{0,2}Z_{k+2} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{k+1} - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k \tag{4.24}$$

for $k = 0, \ldots, m-1$. By (4.24) and (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_{k+2} \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{a_{0,2}(2m-k-2)}\Big(-a_{2,0}(2+k)Z_k + (k+2)(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{k+1} - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k) - k\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+2}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

for $k = 0, \ldots, m-2$. Thus $Z_2, \ldots, Z_m$ are m-fixed. Then $Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}$ are m-fixed by (4.24), and $X_1, \ldots, X_m$ are also m-fixed by (4.23). Finally, if we set $k = m$ in (4.16), then we have

$$X_{m+1} + ma_{2,0}Z_m = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_m, \tag{4.26}$$

and X_{m+1} is m-fixed. Since the terms $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$ as in (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18) can be computed using the lower order term of $\mathcal{X}_{m+1}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$, $\mathcal{Z}_m$ and the informations of $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, we get a desired m-th formal solution

$$f^m = \big(\mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}\mathcal{Y}_{m-1} + \beta_{m+1}(\mathcal{Y}_{m-1}), \mathcal{Z}_m\big)$$

satisfying (1.24), (1.25) and (1.26). The uniqueness of f^m is now obvious from our construction.

Remark 4.2. If needed, we can explicitly write down the lower order terms $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$ as in (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18), but we omit such formulas here, as they are complicated.

5. New intrinsic invariants of cross caps

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the set of germs of Whitney metrics at their singularities. Two metric germs $d\sigma_i^2$ $(i = 1, 2)$ in $\mathcal{W}$ are called *isometric* if there exists a local diffeomorphism germ φ such that $d\sigma_2^2$ is the pull-back of $d\sigma_1^2$ by φ. A map

$$I : \mathcal{W} \to \boldsymbol{R}$$

is called an *invariant of Whitney metrics* if it takes a common value for all metrics in each isometric class. For a cross cap singularity, we can take a canonical coordinate system (x, y) such that $f(x, y)$ is expressed as (cf. (1.2))

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,y) &= (x,\ xy + b(y),\ z(x,y))\,, \\ b(y) &= \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{i!}y^i, \quad z(x,y) = \sum_{j+k\geq 2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j,k}}{j!k!}x^j y^k. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

As shown in [4, Theorem 6], the coefficients $a_{2,0}$, $a_{1,1}$ and $a_{0,2}$ are intrinsic invariants. By (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13), one can observe that these three invariants are determined by the second order jets of E, F and G. So one might expect that the coefficients of the Taylor expansions of the functions E, F, G are all intrinsic invariants of cross caps. However, for example,

$$E_{vvv}(0,0) = 6a_{1,1}a_{1,2}$$

is not an intrinsic invariant of f, since $a_{1,2}$ is changed by an isometric deformation of cross caps (cf. [4, Theorem 4]). In this section, we construct a family of intrinsic invariants $\{\alpha_{i,j}\}_{i+j\geq 2}$ of Whitney metrics ($\alpha_{2,0}, \alpha_{1,1}$ and $\alpha_{0,2}$ have been already defined in [4]). When the metric is induced from a cross cap expressed by the canonical coordinate, then $a_{2,0}$, $a_{1,1}$ and $a_{0,2}$ as in (5.1) coincide with $\alpha_{2,0}, \alpha_{1,1}$ and $\alpha_{0,2}$ for the induced Whitney's metric.

Let $d\sigma^2$ be a Whitney metric defined on a 2-manifold M^2, and $p \in M^2$ a singular point of the metric. Applying Theorem 1.10 for $b = 0$, there exists a C^∞ map germ f into $\boldsymbol{R}^3$ defined on a neighborhood U of p having a cross cap singularity at p satisfying the following two properties:

(1) the first fundamental form $d\sigma_f^2$ of f is formally isometric (cf. Definition 1.9) to $d\sigma^2$ at p,
(2) the characteristic function of f is a flat function at p, that is, the Taylor expansion at p is the zero power series.

If f is real analytic, it is a normal cross cap (cf. Definition 1.3). However, we do not assume here the real analyticity of $d\sigma_f^2$ and f. Taking the normal form of f, we may assume that f is expressed as

$$f(x, y) = (x,\ xy,\ z(x, y)),$$

where

$$x = x(u, v), \qquad y = y(u, v), \qquad z = z(x, y)$$

are smooth functions defined on a neighborhood of $p = (0, 0)$. For each pair of integers (i, j) satisfying $i + j \geq 2$ and $i, j \geq 0$, there exists a unique assignment

$$d\sigma^2 \mapsto \alpha_{i,j}^{d\sigma^2} \in \boldsymbol{R}$$

such that

$$[z] = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{i,n-i}^{d\sigma^2}}{i!(n-i)!} x^i y^{n-i}.$$

So we may regard the series

$$\alpha(d\sigma^2, p) := \{\alpha_{i,j}^{d\sigma^2}\}_{i+j\geq 2,\ i,j\geq 0}$$

as a family of invariants of $d\sigma^2$. By Theorem 1.10, we get the following assertion:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $d\sigma_1^2$ and $d\sigma_2^2$ be Whitney metrics on M^2 having a singularity at the same point $p \in M^2$. Then the two metrics are formally isometric if and only if $\alpha(d\sigma_1^2, p) = \alpha(d\sigma_2^2, p)$.*

In other words, α is a family of complete invariants distinguishing the formal isometry classes of Whitney metrics at p. This family of invariants also induces a family of intrinsic invariants for cross caps in an arbitrarily given Riemannian 3-manifold (N^3, g) as follows. Let $f : M^2 \to N^3$ be a C^∞ map which admits only cross cap singularities. Then the induced metric $d\sigma_f^2$ gives a Whitney metric. Let $p \in M^2$ be a cross cap singularity of f. Then we set

$$A(f, p) := \alpha(d\sigma_f^2, p),$$

which can be considered as a family of intrinsic invariants of a germs of cross cap singularities. When (N^3, g) is the Euclidean 3-space, we can give an explicit algorithm to compute the invariants as follows:

1. Take the $(m+1)$-st $(m \geq 2)$ canonical coordinate system (u, v) centered at p, that is, f has the following Taylor expansion at $p = (0, 0)$:
$$[f] = \left(u, uv + \sum_{n=3}^{m+1} \frac{b_n v^n}{n!}, \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{a_{i,n-i}}{i!(n-i)!} u^i v^{n-i} \right) + O_{m+2}(u, v).$$
Such a coordinate system can be taken using Fukui-Hasegawa's algorithm given in [1].
2. Using this coordinate system (u, v), we can determine the coefficients of the following expansion up to $(m+1)$-st order terms because of the expression $f = (u, 0, 0) + O_2(u, v)$:
$$[E] = \sum_{i+j \leq m+1} \frac{E(i,j)}{i!j!} u^i v^j + O_{m+2}(u, v),$$
$$[F] = \sum_{i+j \leq m+1} \frac{F(i,j)}{i!j!} u^i v^j + O_{m+2}(u, v),$$
$$[G] = \sum_{i+j \leq m+1} \frac{G(i,j)}{i!j!} u^i v^j + O_{m+2}(u, v),$$
where $d\sigma_f^2 = E\, du^2 + 2F\, du\, dv + G\, dv^2$.
3. Setting $b = 0$, we compute
$$X(k,l) \qquad (0 \leq k+l \leq m+2),$$
$$Y(k,l) \qquad (0 \leq k+l \leq m),$$
$$Z(k,l) \qquad (0 \leq k+l \leq m+1),$$
according to the algorithm given in the proof of Theorem 1.10.
4. We formally set
$$u := \sum_{i+j \leq m} \frac{U(i,j)}{i!j!} x^i y^j + O_{m+1}(x, y),$$
$$v := \sum_{i+j \leq m} \frac{V(i,j)}{i!j!} x^i y^j + O_{m+1}(x, y),$$
and substitute them into the expansions
$$x = \sum_{i+j \leq m} \frac{X(i,j)}{i!j!} u^i v^j + O_{m+1}(u, v),$$
$$y = \sum_{i+j \leq m} \frac{Y(i,j)}{i!j!} u^i v^j + O_{m+1}(u, v).$$
Then we can determine all of the coefficients
$$U(k,l), \quad V(k,l) \qquad (0 \leq k+l \leq m).$$
5. Using them, we can finally determine all of the coefficients of the expansion
$$[Z] = \sum_{i+j \leq m} \frac{A_{i,j}}{i!j!} x^i y^j + O_{m+1}(x, y), \tag{5.2}$$
where $\{A_{i,j}\}_{i+j \geq 2} = A(f, p)$.

However, the uniqueness of the expression (5.2) was already shown, and one can alternatively compute $\{A_{i,j}\}_{i+j\leq m}$ via any suitable method. We remark that the normal cross cap shown in the right-hand side of Figure 2 is drawn using the invariants $A_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq i+j \leq 11$.

One can get the following tables of intrinsic invariants;

$$A_{2,0} = a_{2,0}, \qquad A_{1,1} = a_{1,1}, \qquad A_{0,2} = a_{0,2},$$
$$A_{3,0} = -\frac{b_3 a_{1,1}^2 a_{2,0} + b_3 a_{2,0} - 2a_{3,0}a_{0,2}^2}{2a_{0,2}^2}, \quad A_{2,1} = -\frac{b_3 a_{1,1} a_{2,0} - 6a_{0,2}a_{2,1}}{6a_{0,2}},$$
$$A_{1,2} = -\frac{-b_3 a_{1,1}^2 - 2a_{0,2}a_{1,2} - b_3}{2a_{0,2}}, \quad A_{0,3} = \frac{3b_3 a_{1,1} + 2a_{0,3}}{2}.$$

The numerators of the above invariants have been computed in [4]. The authors also computed the fourth order invariants $A_{i,j}$ $(i+j=4)$, which are more complicated. For example, $A_{0,4}$ has the simplest expression amongst them, which is given by

$$A_{0,4} = \frac{4a_{0,2}\left(4b_4 a_{1,1} + 3a_{0,4}\right) + 3b_3\left(b_3\left(15a_{1,1}^2 - 4a_{0,2}a_{2,0} + 7\right) + 4\left(a_{0,3}a_{1,1} + 4a_{0,2}a_{1,2}\right)\right)}{12a_{0,2}}.$$

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Toshizumi Fukui for his valuable comments.

References

[1] T. Fukui and M. Hasegawa. Fronts of Whitney umbrella—a differential geometric approach via blowing up. *J. Singul.* **4** (2012), 35–67.
[2] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable mappings and their singularities*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 14 (New York-Heidelberg: Springer, 1973).
[3] M. Hasegawa, A. Honda, K. Naokawa, K. Saji, M. Umehara and K. Yamada. Intrinsic properties of surfaces with singularities. *Internat. J. Math.* **26** (2015), 1540008, 34 pp.
[4] M. Hasegawa, A. Honda, K. Naokawa, M. Umehara and K. Yamada. Intrinsic invariants of cross caps. *Selecta Math.* **20** (2014), 769–785.
[5] M. Kossowski. Realizing a singular first fundamental form as a nonimmersed surface in Euclidean 3-space. *J. Geom.* **81** (2004), 101–113.
[6] K. Naokawa, M. Umehara and K. Yamada. Isometric deformations of cuspidal edges. to appear in *Tohoku Math. J.*, arXiv:1408.4243.
[7] J. West. The differential geometry of the cross-cap. Ph.D. thesis, University of Liverpool, 1995.

(Atsufumi Honda) National Institute of Technology, Miyakonojo College, 473-1, Yoshiocho, Miyakonojo, Miyazaki 885-8567, Japan
E-mail address: atsufumi@cc.miyakonojo-nct.ac.jp

(Kosuke Naokawa) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kobe University, Rokko, Kobe 657-8501, Japan
E-mail address: naokawa@port.kobe-u.ac.jp

(Masaaki Umehara) Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology 2-12-1-W8-34, O-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan
E-mail address: umehara@is.titech.ac.jp

(Kotaro Yamada) Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, O-okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan
E-mail address: kotaro@math.titech.ac.jp